

Non Hermitian Physics March 22-26, 2021

Elastic electron scattering from $Ar@C_{60}^{z+}$: **Dirac-partial wave analysis**



Km Akanksha Dubey^{1*,} and Jobin Jose¹ ¹Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Patna, Bihta 801103, Patna, India *akankshadubey256@gmail.com, akanksha.pph17@iitp.ac.in

Abstract

Photoionization spectra of $A@C_{60}^{z}$ introduces interesting features like Coulomb confinement resonances (CCR); a new variety of confinement resonances caused due to Coulomb barrier, whereas for cationic states merely a shift of ionization potential towards higher threshold is noticed [1]. It is imperative to search for the electron scattering dynamics with such targets, which is not elaborately explored so far compared to that of neutral targets [2-3]. The present work accounts for a detailed analysis of scattering parameters using Dirac partial wave analysis, emphasizing the role of encaged Ar atom and C_{60}^{z+} cage in electron scattering from Ar@ C_{60}^{z+} for z=1 and 6. Two contrasting types of model C₆₀ potential with charged-shell potential are employed [1]. The role of Coulomb field and short-range interactions on scattering dynamics is elucidated through an analysis of the non-Coulomb scattering phase shifts. Differential cross section shows (DCS) oscillatory structures owing to interference of Coulomb and short-range interactions at the intermediate angles. DCS at backward angles comprises of interferences arising due to internal structure of the target (short-range field) [4] and Coulomb field dominates near the forward angles. A piecewise scattering approximation can be proposed for charged endohedral scattering, similar to the way it was introduced earlier in electron scattering with endohedral target [2]. Total cross section shows solely the dominance of Coulomb field; irrespective of the size of target. Target polarization is found significant for smaller z and at low energy.

Results and Discussion

	Binding energy (a.u.)						
Subshells	Free Ar	Ar@C ₆₀ ^{z+}					
		ASW			GASW		
		z=0	z=1	z=6	z=0	z=1	z=6
1s _{1/2}	119.126	119.132	119.255	119.866	119.147	119.270	119.881
2s _{1/2}	12.412	12.417	12.540	13.152	12.431	12.553	13.165
2p _{1/2}	9.632	9.638	9.760	10.372	9.651	9.774	10.386
2p _{3/2}	9.547	9.553	9.675	10.287	9.566	9.689	10.301
3s _{1/2}	1.286	1.291	1.413	2.025	1.300	1.422	2.034
3p _{1/2}	0.595	0.599	0.721	1.333	0.609	0.731	1.343
3p _{2/2}	0.588	0.591	0.714	1.326	0.601	0.723	1.335

Introduction

Owing to the favorable modifications of intrinsic properties of isolated molecules and atoms, a major focus of the modern research is on investigations of structure and dynamics of confined systems. Since the pathbreaking discovery of C_{60} by Kroto et al. [5], there has been series of theoretical and experimental studies on them in various fields of technological and fundamental importance. Potential applications of the C_{60} which attracted the attention of scientific community includes isolation of poisonous atoms and substances in medical imaging and cancer therapy [6], providing building block for the qubits of a quantum computer [7], an important constituent of the interstellar medium [8], hydrogen storage [9], etc. Atom/molecule or compound entrapped inside the C_{60} cage are called as endohedral species, denoted popularly as $A@C_{60}$ and the confined entities as @A. In order to explore such amazing systems dynamical study using scattering and photoionization tools is an essential demand.

There exist no detailed study for e-A@ C_{60}^{z} scattering dynamics, compared to sufficiently developed area of corresponding photoionization studies [1,10]. To accomplish this gap we report the elastic electron scattering from $Ar@C_{60}^{z+}$ targets with charge states z=1 and 6 as a case study. The reason behind choosing Ar as an encaged atom is two-fold. Primarily, rare gas C_{60} endohedrals (Ar@ C_{60}) are found in nature. Secondly, being an inert gas, it is supposed to be located at the center of the C_{60}^{z+} cage as is assumed in earlier studies [1-2], which makes the theoretical calculations easier. In order to elucidate effect of presence of @Ar, we also calculate $e - C_{60}^{z+}$ and $e - Ar^{z+}$ scattering dynamics. The scattering problem is solved employing the Dirac partial wave analysis [11] in static-exchange approximation for @Ar including target polarization.



Table 1: An overview of calculated binding energy of encaged Ar atom for charge states z=1 and 6. The free Ar atom subshell binding energies are also provided as a reference. Though the electronic distribution of encaged Ar remains same as free Ar.



Fig. 1 (left panel) depicts the nature of projectile-target interaction marked by Coulomb field at large r and short-range interactions at small r. Fig. 2 (right panel) shows DCS for z=1 and 6 at E=16 and 10 eV respectively for various targets.



Fig. 3 (left panel) shows DCS for the same target and same energy after inclusion of polarization potential. Fig 4 (right panel) gives an account of the non-Coulomb scattering phase shifts for DCS plot shown in Fig. 2 above.

Conclusions

Theoretical methodology

The model potential for C_{60} molecule is given by two different nature of interactions: a compact annular square well (ASW) model [1-2] and a diffuse Gaussian annular square well (GASW) [12] model given as:

 $V_{ASW}(r) = \begin{cases} -U, \ r_c - \frac{\Delta}{2} \le r \le r_c + \frac{\Delta}{2} \\ 0, \ otherwise, \end{cases}$ (1)where U = 0.2599 a.u. is the well depth, radius $r_c = 6.7173 a.u.$, and $\Delta = 2.9102 a.u.$ is the

 C_{60} - cage width.

 $V_{GASW}(r) = \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\left(\frac{(r-r_c)}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right)^2} + V_{ASW}(r),$ where standard deviation $\sigma = 1.70 \ a.u.$, and $\frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} - U$ is the depth of the potential at $r = r_c$. U=0.1181

(3)

(4)

a.u. and $\frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} = -0.1417$ a.u.

The charged-shell interaction of C_{60}^{z+} is given by:

 $V_{z}(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{z}{r_{c} + \Delta}, & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq r_{c} + \Delta \\ \frac{z}{r}, & \text{othermal} \end{cases}$

The target polarization for charged- C_{60} cage is given by:

 $V_{C_{60}^{z+}-pol}(r) = -\frac{a_{C_{60}^{z+}}}{2(r^2+b^2)^2}.$

For the encaged Ar atom exchange interaction is modelled by Furness-McCarthy exchange model, given as:

 $V_{ex}(r) = \frac{1}{2} [E - V_{st}(r)] - \frac{1}{2} \{ [E - V_{st}(r)]^2 + 4\pi a_0 e^4 \rho(r) \}^{\frac{1}{2}},$ (5)

The polarization potential for encaged Ar is modelled by popular Buckingham potential. The total interaction hence can be given by:

 $V_T(r) = V_{st}(r) + V_{ex}(r) + V_{C_{60}}(r) + V_z(r) + V_{C60-pol}(r) + V_{@A-pol}(r).$ (6)Here $V_{st}(r)$ is electrostatic potential of encaged Ar. The scattering problem is solved by adapting the popular code package ELSEPA [13] to meet the needs of model and chargedshell potential of the C_{60} . The asymptotic form of the large component of radial wave function $P_{E\kappa}(r) \simeq \sin\left(kr - \ell \frac{\pi}{2} - \eta \ln 2kr + \Delta_{\kappa}\right),$ (7)

Sinding energy of the encaged atom is enhanced in proportional with the charge state z, a constant amount of increment is seen proportional to z.

- * No alteration in electron density distribution in encaged Ar orbital is seen in the ground state.
- High-angle scattering can be used as a sensitive tool to elucidate interior of the target.
- * DCS is marked by interference structures over higher angle sides, which alters by different confining potentials: ASW and GASW.
- Interplay between Coulomb and short-range field leads to interferences in the DCS.
- \therefore DCS as well as non-Coulomb phase shifts are dependent on charge state z of the C₆₀ shell.
- Target polarization is significant only for smaller charge states z and lower projectile energies.
- An piecewise picture of collision can be proposed where lower ℓ encounters the field of Ar and those of higher ℓ feel the field of charged C_{60} ; C_{60}^{z+} .
- ***** TCS is dominated solely by Coulomb interaction giving a resonance less structure.

References

[1]. V. K. Dolmatov, and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A 73, 013201 (2006).

[2]. V. K. Dolmatov, C. Bayens, M. B. Cooper, and M. E. Hunter, Phys. Rev. A 91, 062703 (2015).

[3]. C. Winstead, and V. McKoy, Phys. Rev. A 73, 012711 (2006).

[4]. I. D. Williams, Rep. Prog. Phys. 62, 1431 (1999).

[5]. H. W. Kroto, J. R. Heath, S. C. O'Brien, R. F. Curl, R. E. Smalley. Nature 318, 162 (1985).

- [6]. C.-Y. Shu et al., Bioconjugate Chemistry 19, 651 (2008).
- [7]. W. Harneit et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 216601 (2007).
- [8]. J. P. Maier, E. K. Campbell, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 374, 20150316 (2016).

[9]. O.V. Pupysheva, A.A. Farajian, B.I. Yakobson, Nano lett. 8, 767 (2008).

[10]. A. Müller et al., Phys. Rev. A 99, 063401 (2019).



